

### PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - COLLECTIVE ACTION

### TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE:

NOW COMES Steven Geter and file this Plaintiff's Original Complaint - Collective Action.

### I. JURISDICTION

1. This Court has original jurisdiction to hear this complaint and to adjudicate these claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, this action being brought under the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA").

### II. PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Steven Geter is an individual who resides in Lewisville, Texas, over the age of 18 years of age, and otherwise *sui juris*. In or about June, 2006, Geter became employed by Defendants as a Recruiter and remained in this job until October, 2007. By reason of such

employment, Geter was employed by an enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 206(a) and § 207(a). Further, Geter was personally engaged in commerce. The work Geter performed was directly related to the business conducted by Defendants in interstate commerce.

- 3. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and those current and former employees similarly situated to him, through the Defendants' enterprise, for compensation and other relief under the FLSA, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.
- 4. Defendant Rhino Medical Staffing, LLC a/k/a Rhino Medical Services ("Rhino") is a domestic corporation with its principle place of business in Arlington, Texas. Rhino was an "employer" within the meaning of FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 5. Defendant Patrick Eskew ("Eskew") was the owner and/or operator of Rhino during the applicable statute of limitations. Eskew was an "employer" within the meaning of FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Eskew acted directly or indirectly in the interest of himself and the Defendants in relation to Plaintiff and the putative class. The actions of Eskew resulted in a tortuous injury to Plaintiff that occurred in the state of Texas. Eskew had control over the duties assigned and wages paid to the Plaintiff in the state of Texas. Texas has an interest in ensuring that its employees are compensated in accordance with the law and that its businesses are not subject to unfair competition from competitors who do not comply with the law.
- 6. Defendant Joe Brunson ("Brunson") was the owner and/or operator of Rhino during the applicable statute of limitations. Brunson was an "employer" within the meaning of FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Brunson acted directly or indirectly in the interest of himself and the Defendants in relation to Plaintiff and the putative class. The actions of Brunson resulted in a tortuous injury to Plaintiff that occurred in the state of Texas. Brunson had control over the

duties assigned and wages paid to the Plaintiff in the state of Texas. Texas has an interest in ensuring that its employees are compensated in accordance with the law and that its businesses are not subject to unfair competition from competitors who do not comply with the law.

7. Defendants acted in all respects pertinent to this action as the agent of the other, carried out a joint scheme, business pan, or policy in all respects pertinent to this suit, and the acts of each are legally attributable to the other. The Defendants essentially operate as a single entity, and share resources and physical facilities.

## III. COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 8. During the statutory period, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees routinely worked as Recruiters for Defendants in excess of forty (40) hours per week without overtime compensation.
  - 9. Defendants operate a medical staffing company.
- 10. Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees had the same job duties. They would place phone calls medical professionals in the attempt to get them to sign contracts with Defendants.
- 11. Nothing about their job exempts Recruiters from the overtime requirements of the FLSA. The FLSA requires these employees to be paid at a rate of one-and-one-half times their regular rate of pay for each hour worked over forty in a workweek.
- 12. Instead of being paid hourly with overtime, Recruiters were paid a base salary plus commission.
- 13. These practices violate the provisions of the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq., specifically § 206 and § 207(a)(1). As a result of these unlawful practices, Plaintiffs and the similarly situated employees suffered a loss of wages.

e 4 of 7

14. Defendants showed reckless disregard for the fact that their failure to pay their agents overtime compensation and minimum wages was in violation of these laws. Defendants were willful in violating the FLSA

### IV. JURY DEMAND

15. Plaintiffs exercise their right to a jury and tender the appropriate fee.

### V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs and all employees similarly situated who join in this action demand:

- 1. Issuance of notice as soon as possible to all Recruiters who are or were employed by Defendants during any portion of the three years immediately preceding the filing of this action. Generally, this notice should inform them that this action has been filed, describe the nature of the action, and explain their right to opt into this lawsuit if they were not paid minimum wage for hours worked as agents during any portion of the statutory period or if they worked hours in excess of forty (40) in any week during the statutory period, but were not paid overtime compensation;
- 2. Judgment against Defendants for an amount equal to Plaintiffs' unpaid back wages at the applicable overtime rate;
  - 3. Judgment against Defendants that their violations of the FLSA were willful;
- 4. An equal amount to the overtime and minimum wage damages as liquidated damages;
- 5. To the extent that liquidated damages are not awarded, an award of prejudgment interest;

- 6. All costs and attorney's fees incurred prosecuting these claims;
- 7. Leave to add additional Plaintiffs by motion, the filing of written consent forms, or any other method approved by the Court:
  - 8. Leave to amend to add claims under applicable state laws;
- 9. Injunctive relief enjoining future violations of the FLSA by Defendants of the type complained of by Plaintiffs; and
  - 10. For such further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

ROB WILEYAP.C.

Bv: Robert J. Wiley

Texas Bar No. 24013750

Board Certified Specialist, Labor & Employment

Law, Texas Board of Legal Specialization

3131 Turtle Creek Blvd., Ste. 310

Dallas, Texas 75219

Telephone: (214) 528-6500 Facsimile: (214) 528-6511 rwiley@robwiley.com Attorney for Plaintiffs

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of court. of initiating the civil docket sheet	the information contained her This form approved by the J	ein neither replace nor udicial Conference of the THE REVERSE OF THE FO	suppler e Unite RM.)	ment the filing and service ded States in September 197	e of plead in 74, is re quire	ngs or other paper and for the use of the	rs as required by ne Clerk of Court	law, except as for the purpose
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
Steven Geter OCT 2001				Rhino Medical Staffing, LLC, Patrick Eskew, Joe Brunson				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Transition TOF TEXAS  (EXCERCIPED THE PAINTER AND THE TEXAS)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.				
	Address, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (If Known)		484		
Robert J. Wiley, Ro Dallas, TX 75219 (2	b Wiley, P.C., 3131 Turtle 214) 528-6500		,	None Known.	- 07	CV	658	<u> </u>
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	one Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPA	L PARTIES(		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No.	ot a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only)  PTF DEF  izen of This State  1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place  of Business In This State				PTF DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A	_	5 5 5
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only			en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		□6 □6
CONTRACT	TOR		FOR	FEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER S	STATUTES
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ CIVIL RIGHTS □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	PERSONAL INJURY  362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage  385 Property Damage  385 Property Damage  Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus:  530 General  535 Death Penalty  540 Mandamus & Other  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition	3 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 60 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 423 Withd 28 USC  PROPER □ 820 Copyr □ 830 Patent □ 840 Tradet  SOCIAL: □ 861 HIA ( □ 862 Black □ 863 DIWC □ 864 SSID □ 865 RSI (4 □ FEDERA	TY RIGHTS ights mark  SECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 105(g)) L TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff endant) -Third Party	□ 480 Consume □ 490 Cable/Sa □ 810 Selective □ 875 Custome □ 12 USC 3 □ 890 Other Sta □ 891 Agricultu □ 892 Economi □ 893 Environs □ 894 Energy A □ 895 Freedom □ 400 Appeal of Under Eq to Justice □ 950 Constitut State State	ad Banking ce ion ion at Influenced and organizations or Credit t TV Service s/Commodities/ at Challenge 410 attutory Actions and Acts c Stabilization Act mental Matters Action function of Information and Access tionality of
<b>⊠</b> 1 Original □ 2 R	ate Court A	ppellate Court	Reop		-	6 Multidistri Litigation	ict 🗆 7 M	idge from lagistrate idgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO			•	abor Standards Act				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:  CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23				EMAND \$		HECK YES only JRY DEMAND:		omplaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) PENDING OR CLOSED (See instructions): JUDGE				DOCKET NUMBER				
DATE 10-30-07	MU	SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY	OF RECORD	-			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY								

RECEIPT # TWIST-AMOUNT 350 APPLYING IFP JUDGE

# Case 4:07-cv-00658-Y UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Page 7 of 7 PageID 7 Northern District of Texas

### **Civil Filing Notice - Fort Worth Division**

CIVIL ACTION NO:	4-076	0V - 6	558= X	- <b>-</b>		
This case has been assigned to District Judge:						
(Complete if applicable) TRANSFERRED FROM:			DATE FILED:	•		

Civil cases are assigned to a judge by random draw. A docket clerk for each judge maintains the recording of documents filed with the Clerk. A complete list of phone numbers for both the judges' chambers and the docket clerks is provided.

Judge	Court Settings	Pleadings Filed
(A) Judge John H. McBRYDE	(817)850-6650	(817)850-6611
Even Cases: 850-6652 Odd Cases: 850-6	653	
(Y) Judge Terry R. MEANS	(817)850-6673	(817)850-6612
(BE) Magistrate Judge Charles BLEIL	(817)850-6690	(817)850-6697

For access to local rules, attorney admission information, frequently asked questions, common forms, filing instructions, and records information, please visit our web site at www.txnd.uscourts.gov. To speak to someone in the district clerk's office, please call (817) 850-6601.

To receive electronic access to court docket sheets and filed documents, contact the PACER Service Center at www.pacer.pcs.uscourts.gov or by phone at 1-800-676-6856 for a log-in and password.